

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,130	09/18/2000	Graylon K. Williams	GIO-004-US	3988
26659	7590 03/12/2002			
DINNIN & DUNN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	G BEAVER ROAD		MILLER, EDWARD A	
TROY, MI 4	8084		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			Ag 3641 DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	SR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,130	09/18/2000	Graylon K. Williams	GIO-004-US	3988
75	590 01/18/2002			·
Lyon, P.C.			EXAMINER	
Suite 207 3883 Telegraph Road			MILLER, EDWARD A	
Bloomfield Hill	ls, MI 48302-1476		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			R ³⁶⁴¹ DATE MAILED: 01/18/200 2 3 -1 2	5R

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- }			
	09/664,130	WILLIAMS ET AL.	¥			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Edward A. Miller	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	1	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a communication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and period part of the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply will, by stated and period part of the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply will, by stated and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communicati NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		•				
, ,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers prosecution as to the merits	s is			
closed in accordance with the practice und						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in		Sapproved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examinor.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ngn phoney amaer ee e.e.e. g	(4) (4) (7)				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a leading of the period	riority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		ition).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	en received.	·			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s 	5) Notice of Ir	nformary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/664,130

Art Unit: 3641

4)

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13 and 16-19, drawn to a composition, classified in class 149, subclass 19.2.
 - II. Claims 14-15, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 280, subclass 741.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product composition can be used as a rocket propellant.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Begin on January 16, 2002 a provisional election was made, deemed with traverse, to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-13 and 16-19.

 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-15 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/664,130

Art Unit: 3641

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-13 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grebert et al. in view of Plantif et al., Hamilton, Ochi et al., Taylor et al. and Hackett et al.

Grebert et al. teach air bag compositions, where the main oxidizer is, for example, potassium perchlorate, and which composition may include additives and a binder of silicone rubber. See col. 1, lines 5-12, col. 2, lines 9-30, and col. 3, lines 1-8 and 50-58. Although coolants are not taught, Plantif et al. teach that the gases from such compositions may be hotter than desired, and suggest the use of outboard coolants, which may include carbonates, among others. Hamilton and Ochi et al. further teach the use of coolants in air bag compositions with a perchlorate main oxidizer. Variation of the specific type and amount of coolant, as well an other notoriously well known ingredients, for example, within the parameters taught, to obtain a suitable cooling result, would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the subject art. It is well settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person or ordinary skill in the subject art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). Taylor et al. and Hackett et al. are primarily supplemental, further teaching the broad composition and certain ingredients, as well as variation of parameters.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Perotto et al. and Austruy et al., as to the compositions with silicone binders taught therein, are supplemental to Grebert et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,130

Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

- 8. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning either this or an earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Edward A. Miller at (703) 306-4163. Examiner Miller may normally be reached Monday-Thursday, from 10 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach Examiner Miller by telephone are unsuccessful, his supervisor Mr. Carone can be reached at (703) 306-4198. The Group fax number is (703) 305-7687.

If there is no answer, or for any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the application status, please call the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Miller/em January 16, 2002

EDWARD A. MILLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER